UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,432	09/30/2003	Allen Bruce Goodrich	1001.29	6197

53953 7590 05/02/2006

DAVIS LAW GROUP, P.C.
9020 N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY.
BUILDING 1, SUITE 375
AUSTIN, TX 78759

EXAMINER	
DARE, RYAN A	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2186	

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/675,432	GOODRICH, ALLEN BRUCE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ryan Dare	2186	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *22 February 2006*.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-20* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-20* is/are rejected.

7)☒ Claim(s) *4* is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The amendments to the specification filed on 2/22/06 are approved.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: The last line contains a semicolon where the Examiner believes a comma was intended. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
>
> (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Flautner et al., US Patent Application Publication 2004/0210728.

3. With respect to claim 1, Flautner et al. teach a method of reducing power consumption in an N-way set-associative cache memory having Y sets, wherein N is a first integer, and wherein Y is a second integer, the method comprising:

during a first clock cycle k, in response to an address, identifying an associated set in the cache memory, comparing the address to respective tag potions of N blocks in the associated set, and outputting a signal in response thereto, wherein k is an integer, in par. 0103 and par. 0105, with reference to fig. 9, where numeral 900 is the address, the index field 914 identifies the associated set in the cache memory, the address is compared to numeral 950 Tag RAM 930, and the output of MATCH unit 954 or indicates a match; and

during a second clock cycle k+1, in response to the signal indicating that one of the N blocks in the associated set is a match with the address, reading a non-tag portion of the matching block in the associated set, while a non-tag portions of N-1 non-matching block in the associated set are disabled, and while non-tag portions of Y-1 non-associated sets are disabled, in figure 10, where in response to a match in numeral 1020, data is read in numeral 1030 and the non-matching block is disabled in numeral 1060. Note, with reference to the specification, paragraphs 0106 and 0109, that this is the alternative embodiment where the tag portion is also disabled when not in use. In the primary embodiment, as with the present invention, where the tags are always awake and readable, numerals 1040 and 1050 of the process can be eliminated, and the unneeded lines can be set back into drowsy mode after reading the matching data.

4. With respect to claim 2, Flautner et al. teach the method of claim 1, wherein the reading comprises: enabling the non-tag portion of the matching block in the associated set, in fig. 0105, "If a match is found then the CPU is signaled to load the requested data from the appropriate cache line. Data is supplied to the CPU from the data RAM

940 via multiplexer 960." See the abstract where it describes that when data is read, it is enabled by raising the voltage level to a readable state. Also see the first sentence of par. 0106 which says that in the primary embodiment, the tag line is always enabled, which means that the non-tag portion is the part that is enabled for reading.

5. With respect to claim 3, Flautner et al. teach the method of claim 2, wherein the enabling comprises applying power to the non-tag portion of the matching block in the associated set, in the abstract where it describes that when data is read, it is enabled by raising the voltage level to a readable state.

6. With respect to claim 4, Flautner et al. teach the method of claim 1, and comprising: removing power from the at least one of the non-tag portions of: the N-1 non-matching blocks in the associated set, and the Y-1 non associated sets, in figure 10, numeral 1060, and further described by the abstract, wherein the drowsy mode refers to the unreadable lower voltage level.

7. With respect to claim 5, Flautner et al. teach the method of claim 4, wherein the removing comprises:

removing power from the at least one of the non-tag portions, so that it is disabled from outputting information, and so that it continues to store the information, in the abstract.

8. With respect to claim 6, Flautner et al. teach the method of claim 1, wherein the cache memory is a program cache, in par. 0122, where it mentions an instruction cache, which is synonymous with program cache.

9. With respect to claim 7, Flautner et al. teach the method of claim 1, wherein the cache memory is a data cache, in par. 0122.

10. With respect to claim 8, Flautner et al. teach the method of claim 1, wherein the comparing comprises:

comparing a portion of the address to respective tag portions of the N blocks in the associated set, in par. 0103.

11. With respect to claim 9, Flautner et al. teach the method of claim 1, wherein reading the reading comprises:

reading the non-tag portion of the matching block in the associated set, in fig. 10, numeral 1030, while the non-tag portions of the N-1 non-matching blocks in the associated set are at least partly disabled, and while the non-tag portions of the Y-1 non-associated sets are at least partly disabled, in fig. 10, numeral 1060.

12. With respect to claim 10, Flautner et al. teach the method of claim 1, and comprising:

during the second clock cycle k+1, in response to a second address, identifying a second associated set in the cache memory, comparing the second address to the respective tag portions of N blocks in the second associated set, and outputting a second signal in response thereto, in fig. 9, where the TAG Ram 932 determines if there is a match in block 956; and

during a third clock cycle k+2, in response to the second signal indicating that one of the N blocks in the second associated set is a match with the second address, reading a non-tag portion of the matching block in the second associated set, while the

non-tag portions of N-1 non-matching blocks in the second associated set are disabled, and while non-tag portions of the Y-1 non-associated sets are disabled, in fig. 9, where the data is read from the bottom data ram. Also see figure 10 and the above rejection of claim 1.

13. With respect to claims 11-20, Applicant claims a system containing a first circuitry and second circuitry that is adapted to perform the method of claims 1-10 and is therefore rejected using similar logic.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments filed 2/22/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

15. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references. Applicant makes no attempt to explain how the amendments to the claims overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) using specific passages from the cited reference.

16. Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made.

Conclusion

17. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Dare whose telephone number is (571)272-4069. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (571)272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan Dare
April 26, 2006

MATTHEW KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER